CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit

0	Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted direct where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the submitted direct to the submitted direc	ctly to	to the CalFresh Policy analy	yst assigned responsibility to the	e county			
1.	Policy/Regulation Interpretation	5.	DATE OF REQUEST: 3/24/14	NEED RESPONSE BY: ASAP	~^			
	☐ QC ☑ Fair Hearing	6.	county/organization: Mendocino County					
	Other:	7.	subject: SSA Payments					
2.	REQUESTOR NAME: Marjorie Mullan	8.						
3.	PHONE NO.: 707-463-7852		(3), a (3					
4.	REGULATION CITE(S): 44-113.311, 44-111.1, 63-502.2, 63-502.2(q), 63-507							
9.	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):							
	Scenario: Mom with two kids. Quarterly reporting household. Separated from father of children since 5/2012. Applied for and was granted CalFresh and CalWORKs in this county 1/2013. Father is disabled and gets SSDI for himself and is the payee for the 2 children's benefits. Dad has not given any of the money intended for the children to the mom. Mom has never been the payee and did not realize she should be the one getting the benefits. The county has verification from SSA that mom has never been the payee.							
	Our SIU division has charged her with an OI because of the SSDI for the children. SIU based the OI on an IEVS report. They contend that the money is the childrens no matter who the payee is. They were using as part of their argument for the CW OP that she should have applied for the SSA and were considering it "potentially available income." CF has no PAI. Should the county be using that income in her CalFresh budget and assessing an OI against her?							
10.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:							
	My answer is no we should not charge her with an OI because it to support her household. She was not told by any work	se s ker	she did not have access the here that she needs to a	to the money and was not at apply for the SSA benefits.	ole to			
1.	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):	1						
	The state concurs with your response because the money wanot already done so, she should do whatever is necessary to	is n get	ot made available for use the money paid to her fo	e by the mother. If the client or the children.	has			

FOR CDSS USE							
DATE RECEIVED:	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:						
March 24, 2014	March 27, 2014 JN						
CF 24 (7/12)	MODITAL, AUTOUR						

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION (Continued)						
1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation	5.	DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:		
	☐ QC ☐ Fair Hearing ☐ Other:	6.	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:			
**********		7.	7. SUBJECT:			
2.	REQUESTOR NAME:	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).				
3.	PHONE NO.:					
4.	REGULATION CITE(S):					
4.	REGULATION CITE(S):					